

**Garrett J. Gross**

*Am J Physiol Regulatory Integrative Comp Physiol* 289:1562-1563, 2005.

doi:10.1152/ajpregu.00627.2005

**You might find this additional information useful...**

---

This article cites 25 articles, 18 of which you can access free at:

<http://ajpregu.physiology.org/cgi/content/full/289/6/R1562#BIBL>

Medline items on this article's topics can be found at <http://highwire.stanford.edu/lists/artbytopic.dtl> on the following topics:

Biochemistry .. ATP  
Biochemistry .. ATP Channel  
Neuroscience .. Adenosine  
Pharmacology .. Heart Diseases (Drug Development)  
Physiology .. Coronary Arteries  
Physiology .. Rats

Updated information and services including high-resolution figures, can be found at:

<http://ajpregu.physiology.org/cgi/content/full/289/6/R1562>

Additional material and information about *American Journal of Physiology - Regulatory, Integrative and Comparative Physiology* can be found at:

<http://www.the-aps.org/publications/ajpregu>

---

This information is current as of January 23, 2009 .

*The American Journal of Physiology - Regulatory, Integrative and Comparative Physiology* publishes original investigations that illuminate normal or abnormal regulation and integration of physiological mechanisms at all levels of biological organization, ranging from molecules to humans, including clinical investigations. It is published 12 times a year (monthly) by the American Physiological Society, 9650 Rockville Pike, Bethesda MD 20814-3991. Copyright © 2005 by the American Physiological Society. ISSN: 0363-6119, ESN: 1522-1490. Visit our website at <http://www.the-aps.org/>.

## Remote preconditioning and delayed cardioprotection in skeletal muscle

Garrett J. Gross

Department of Pharmacology and Toxicology, Medical College of Wisconsin, Milwaukee, Wisconsin

THE CONCEPT OF ISCHEMIC PRECONDITIONING (IPC) was introduced in 1986 by Murry, Jennings, and Reimer (10). These investigators showed that four brief 5-min periods of ischemia in canine hearts produced a marked reduction in myocardial infarct size in dogs subjected to 40 min of coronary artery occlusion and 72 h of reperfusion compared with a nonpreconditioned group. This finding has stimulated a tremendous amount of studies in an attempt to elucidate the mechanisms responsible for this powerful, protective effect in the heart. This phenomenon has been shown to occur in all species studied, including man, and has been extended to other organs, including the brain, skeletal muscle, kidney, liver, and intestine (24). IPC has also been mimicked by a number of pharmacological triggers and mediators, and it has been shown that IPC also has not only an acute phase that lasts 1–4 h but a delayed phase, which is usually observed 24 h after the ischemic or pharmacologic stimulus, a phase that has been shown to last up to 72 h before waning (2, 24). Many factors have been identified to be involved in triggering and mediating both acute and delayed preconditioning, and some of the main factors include adenosine, opioids, and bradykinin at the receptor level and intracellular kinases, such as PKC (23), mitogen-activated protein kinases (MAPKs), reactive oxygen species (ROS), nitric oxide (NO), and  $K_{ATP}$  channels in both sarcolemmal ( $sK_{ATP}$ ) and mitochondria ( $mK_{ATP}$ ) sites (24).

In 1993, Przyklenk et al. (16) produced an important paradigm shift (15) when they clearly demonstrated that regional IPC in the left circumflex bed of the canine heart protected the remote left anterior descending coronary artery bed from infarction during sustained ischemia in this region, intraorgan preconditioning. Although this could not be repeated by Nakano et al. (11) in isolated rabbit hearts in a Langendorff mode, possibly due to differences in species, experimental design, or in vivo vs. in vitro differences (6). Nevertheless, this finding stimulated other studies in which investigators were able to precondition organs distal to the site of the preconditioning stimulus, a phenomenon entitled “remote preconditioning.” For example, renal preconditioning (18, 22) and intestinal preconditioning (4, 13) were both shown to protect the heart from a subsequent prolonged ischemic insult, and these effects appeared to be mediated, in part, via adenosine receptors, opioid receptors, and ROS (24). Recently, a role for  $mK_{ATP}$  channels has been implicated in the protection afforded by remote hindlimb IPC to explanted rat hearts removed and placed in the Langendorff mode (8). In these studies, the authors compared the effect of remote hindlimb IPC with that produced by local IPC directly applied to the isolated perfused heart without the previous hindlimb IPC stimulus. Both methods of IPC produced nearly equivalent degrees of protection against infarct size and myocardial stunning, an effect mim-

icked by the  $mK_{ATP}$  opener diazoxide. The effect of remote IPC was blocked by pretreatment with the nonselective  $K_{ATP}$  channel blocker glibenclamide and the selective  $mK_{ATP}$  channel blocker 5-hydroxydecanoic acid (5-HD) but not by the sarcolemmal-selective  $K_{ATP}$  inhibitor HMR 1098. These results suggested that remote IPC in explanted rat hearts is mediated by a mechanism linked to opening of the  $mK_{ATP}$  channel (8).

Two papers (1, 9) recently published by the group that has published a paper in the present issue of the *AJP–Regulatory, Integrative and Comparative Physiology* (9a) have addressed mechanisms and effects of remote IPC against infarction acutely in a pig model in which skeletal muscle flaps exposed to 4 h of ischemia and 48 h of reperfusion were used to investigate the protective effects of noninvasive remote IPC of skeletal muscle to protect distant skeletal muscle against infarction. Addison et al. (1) initially observed that three 10-min periods of occlusion and reperfusion of a hindlimb with a tourniquet reduced infarct size in the latissimus dorsi, gracilis, and rectus abdominus by ~55, 60, and 55%, respectively. Interestingly, these protective effects of remote IPC were blocked by both naloxone and 7-benzylidenenaltrexone, a nonselective and  $\delta_1$ -selective opioid receptor antagonist, respectively. However, the effect was not blocked by a ganglionic blocker, hexamethonium, or the nonselective adenosine receptor antagonist 8-SPT as had been previously shown to occur following remote IPC using an occlusion of the anterior mesenteric artery to produce myocardial protection in rats (4). These results suggest an important role for endogenous opioid peptides in mediating these effects of remote IPC in pig skeletal muscle. In agreement with an important role for opioids in remote IPC, Patel et al. (13) and Weinbrenner et al. (21) have shown a role for opioids in remote IPC produced by renal or mesenteric occlusions. More recently, Moses et al. (9) found that remote IPC in pig skeletal muscle reduced infarct size in distant latissimus dorsi muscle flaps, and this effect was abolished by both glibenclamide and 5-HD but not HMR 1098. They also showed that the novel  $mK_{ATP}$  opener BMS-191095, mimicked the effect of remote IPC in this model and that the infarct-sparing effect of BMS-191095 was associated with a higher content of ATP in the muscle and a reduction of myeloperoxidase activity, an indication of neutrophil infiltration into the reperfused flap. These data agree with those previously observed in the explanted rat heart and suggest that opening  $mK_{ATP}$  channels produces an energy-sparing effect during sustained ischemia in heart and skeletal muscle. These data also suggest that  $mK_{ATP}$  channels are both a trigger and mediator of acute remote IPC in hindlimb skeletal muscle of rats and pigs.

Despite a number of papers suggesting that remote IPC performed in different organs results in acute protection of distant organs against infarction, only two previous papers (19, 20) suggested that remote IPC can result in delayed protection 24–72 h later, similar to that of delayed IPC because of a local IPC stimulus. Wang et al. (20) showed that intestinal preconditioning by ischemia resulted in a protective effect against myocardial infarction 24 h later and that this effect was

Address for reprint requests and other correspondence: G. J. Gross, Dept. of Pharmacology and Toxicology, Medical College of Wisconsin, Milwaukee, WI 53226 (e-mail: ggross@mcw.edu).

mediated by inducible NO synthase (iNOS) based upon its blockade by two relatively selective iNOS inhibitors, aminoguanidine and S-methylthiourea. More recently, Tokuno et al. (19) showed that bilateral occlusion of the rat internal carotid arteries 24 h before studying their hearts in the Langedorff mode produced a reduction in infarct size and improved function, an effect also mediated by iNOS. Of course, this preconditioning protocol was not produced by brief occlusions of the carotid arteries, so these results are difficult to put in perspective with previous studies of remote IPC produced by brief periods of ischemia of a distant organ.

In this issue of *AJP—Regulatory, Integrative and Comparative Physiology*, Moses et al. (9a) have performed an elegant series of experiments in their pig flap model in which they clearly demonstrate that remote noninvasive IPC of the hindlimb results in a delayed protective effect at 24, 28, 36, 48, and 72 h after remote IPC. The authors also show that P-1075, a putative sK<sub>ATP</sub> opener (17), also mimicked the effect of remote IPC to reduce infarct size. One major difference between the acute studies previously performed in the same model by these investigators is related to the role of the K<sub>ATP</sub> channel subtypes in the trigger and mediator phases of the delayed PC response. That P-1075 triggered the protection seen and the observation that the trigger phase was blocked by pretreatment with HMR 1098 and not by 5-HD is different from the acute phase where 5-HD blocked both the trigger and mediator phase of remote IPC. Nevertheless, these results are in agreement with those of Patel et al. (14) who also found that the trigger phase of delayed IPC in rats was mediated by the sK<sub>ATP</sub> channel. The reasons responsible for these differences in the trigger phase of acute vs. delayed IPC following local or remote IPC are not apparent but are worthy of further study. In addition, there is evidence that P-1075 is not a selective sK<sub>ATP</sub> channel opener (5, 12). Therefore, studies in which P-1075 is given in the presence of 5-HD or HMR 1098 would help address this potential pitfall in the interpretation of these data. The downstream signaling pathways involved in mediating this delayed protected phenotype have not been addressed except in the two previous studies (19, 20) that suggested a role for iNOS, and this would be a fruitful area of further study. It would also be interesting to determine whether remote IPC of skeletal muscle or other organs can result in delayed cardioprotection or neural protection because such findings would have important clinical implications. A noninvasive method, such as that shown in the present study, would seem to be readily amenable to use in humans subjected to acute ischemic insults. In fact, this method has recently been used in several clinical studies to produce acute preconditioning (3, 7), so it seems possible that this methodology could be easily adapted to the clinical arena in the future.

## REFERENCES

1. Addison PD, Neligan PC, Ashrafpour H, Khan A, Zhong A, Moses M, Forrest CR, and Pang CY. Noninvasive remote ischemic preconditioning for global protection of skeletal muscle against infarction. *Am J Physiol Heart Circ Physiol* 285: H1435–H1443, 2003.
2. Baxter GF, Goma FM, and Yellon DM. Characterization of the infarct-limiting effect of delayed preconditioning: time course and dose dependency studies in rabbit myocardium. *Basic Res Cardiol* 92: 159–167, 1997.
3. Broadhead MW, Kharbanda RK, Peters MJ, and MacAllister RJ. K<sub>ATP</sub> channel activation induces ischemic preconditioning of the endothelium in humans in vivo. *Circulation* 110: 2077–2082, 2004.
4. Gho BCG, Schoemaker RG, van den Doel MA, Duncker DJ, and Verdouw PD. Myocardial protection by brief ischemia in noncardiac tissue. *Circulation* 94: 2193–2200, 1996.
5. Gross GJ. Selective ATP-sensitive potassium channel openers: fact or fiction. *J Mol Cell Cardiol* 35: 1005–1007, 2003.
6. Heusch G and Schulz R. Editorial: remote preconditioning. *J Mol Cell Cardiol* 34: 1279–1281, 2002.
7. Kharbanda RK, Peters M, Walton B, Kattenhorn M, Mullen M, Klein N, Vallance P, Deanfield J, and MacAllister R. Ischemic preconditioning prevents endothelial injury and systemic neutrophil activation during ischemia-reperfusion in humans in vivo. *Circulation* 103: 1624–1630, 2001.
8. Kristiansen SB, Henning O, Kharbanda RK, Nielsen-Kudsk JE, Schmidt MR, Redington AN, Nielsen TT, and Botker HE. Remote preconditioning reduces ischemic injury in the explanted heart by a K<sub>ATP</sub> channel-dependent mechanism. *Am J Physiol Heart Circ Physiol* 288: H1252–H1256, 2005.
9. Moses MA, Addison PD, Neligan PC, Ashrafpour H, Huang N, Zair M, Rassuli A, Forrest CR, Grover GJ, and Pang CY. Mitochondrial K<sub>ATP</sub> channels in hindlimb remote preconditioning of skeletal muscle against infarction. *Am J Physiol Heart Circ Physiol* 288: H559–H567, 2005.
- 9a. Moses MA, Addison PD, Neligan PC, Ashrafpour H, Huang N, McAllister SE, Lipa JE, Forrest CR, and Pang CY. Inducing late-phase of infarct protection in skeletal muscle by remote preconditioning: efficacy and mechanism. *Am J Physiol Regul Integr Comp Physiol* 289: R1609–R1617, 2005.
10. Murry CE, Jennings RB, and Reimer KA. Preconditioning with ischemia: a delay of lethal cell injury in ischemic myocardium. *Circulation* 74: 1124–1136, 1986.
11. Nakano A, Heusch G, Cohen MV, Downey JM. Preconditioning one myocardial region does not necessarily precondition the whole rabbit heart. *Basic Res Cardiol* 97: 35–40, 2002.
12. Oldenberg O, Yang XM, Krieg T, Garlid KD, Cohen MV, Grover GJ, and Downey JM. P-1075 opens mitochondrial K<sub>ATP</sub> channels and generates reactive oxygen species resulting in cardioprotection of rabbit hearts. *J Mol Cell Cardiol* 35: 1035–1042, 2003.
13. Patel HH, Moore JM, Hsu AK, and Gross GJ. Cardioprotection at a distance: mesenteric artery occlusion protects the myocardium via an opioid sensitive mechanism. *J Mol Cell Cardiol* 34: 1317–1323, 2002.
14. Patel HH, Gross ER, Peart JN, Hsu AK, and Gross GJ. Sarcolemmal K<sub>ATP</sub> channel triggers delayed ischemic preconditioning in rats. *Am J Physiol Heart Circ Physiol* 288: H445–H447, 2005.
15. Przyklenk K, Darling CE, Dickson EW, and Whittaker P. Cardioprotection “outside the box”: The evolving paradigm of remote preconditioning. *Basic Res Cardiol* 98: 149–157, 2003.
16. Przyklenk K, Bauer B, Ovize M, Kloner RA, and Whittaker P. Regional ischemic ‘preconditioning’ protects remote virgin myocardium from subsequent sustained coronary occlusion. *Circulation* 87: 893–899, 1993.
17. Sato T, Sasaki N, Seharaseyon J, O’Rourke B, and Marban E. Selective pharmacological agents implicate mitochondrial but not sarcolemmal K<sub>ATP</sub> channels in ischemic cardioprotection. *Circulation* 101: 2418–2423, 2000.
18. Takaoka A, Nakae I, Mitsunami K, Yabe T, Morikawa S, Inubushi T, and Kinoshita M. Renal ischemia/reperfusion remotely improves myocardial energy metabolism during myocardial ischemia via adenosine receptors in rabbits: effect of remote preconditioning. *J Am Coll Cardiol* 33: 556–564, 1999.
19. Tokuno S, Hinokiyama K, Tokuno K, Lowbeer C, Hansson LO, and Valen G. Spontaneous ischemic events in the brain and heart adapt the hearts of severely atherosclerotic mice to ischemia. *Arterioscler Thromb Vasc Biol* 22: 995–1001, 2002.
20. Wang YP, Xu H, Mizoguchi K, Oe M, and Maeta H. Intestinal ischemia induces late preconditioning against myocardial infarction: a role for inducible nitric oxide synthase. *Cardiovasc Res* 49: 391–398, 2001.
21. Weinbrenner C, Schulze F, Sarvary L, and Strasser RH. Remote preconditioning by infrarenal aortic occlusion is operative via  $\delta_1$ -opioid receptors and free radicals in vivo in the rat heart. *Cardiovasc Res* 61: 591–599, 2004.
22. Weinbrenner C, Nelles M, Herzog N, Sarvary L, and Strasser RH. Remote preconditioning by infrarenal occlusion of the aorta protects the heart from infarction: a newly identified non-neuronal but PKC-dependent pathway. *Cardiovasc Res* 55: 590–601, 2002.
23. Wofrum S, Schneider K, Heidbreder M, Nienstedt J, Dominiak P, and Dendorfer A. Remote preconditioning protects the heart by activating myocardial PKC $\epsilon$ -isoform. *Cardiovasc Res* 55: 583–589, 2002.
24. Yellon DM and Downey JM. Preconditioning the myocardium: from cellular physiology to clinical cardiology. *Physiol Rev* 83: 1113–1151, 2003.